

ELECTORAL DOMINANCE AND DEMOCRATIC TRANSFORMATION: A STUDY OF THE BHARATIYA JANATA PARTY (BJP) IN INDIAN ELECTORAL POLITICS

Karmjeet Kaur

Research Scholar

Department of Political Science, Desh Bhagat University, Mandi Gobindgarh, Punjab, India

Rajdeep Kaur

Assistant Professor

Department of Political Science, Desh Bhagat University, Mandi Gobindgarh, Punjab, India

ABSTRACT

The transformation of Indian electoral politics since 2014 has been significantly influenced by the rise and dominance of the Bharatiya Janata Party (BJP). This study examines how BJP's electoral strategies have reshaped voter behaviour, political competition, and democratic functioning in India. Using a descriptive and analytical approach based on secondary data, the study identifies key drivers of BJP's success, including ideological positioning, centralized leadership, organizational strength, welfare governance, and digital communication. The findings highlight a structural shift from coalition politics to a dominant-party system, with an increasing emphasis on performance-based, leadership-centric voting. While this transformation has enhanced governance stability and voter engagement, it also raises concerns regarding democratic balance, opposition space, and institutional pluralism. The study contributes to the understanding of electoral dominance and democratic transformation in emerging democracies by providing an integrated analytical framework.

Keywords: Electoral Dominance; Bharatiya Janata Party (BJP); Indian Electoral Politics; Voter Behaviour; Political Communication; Democratic Transformation

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of Indian Electoral Politics

India's democratic system is fundamentally anchored in electoral politics, which serves as the primary mechanism through which citizens participate in governance and influence public policy. Since independence in 1947, India has developed one of the world's largest and most complex democratic frameworks, characterized by universal adult franchise, periodic elections, and a competitive multi-party system (Corbridge & Harriss, 2013). In its early decades, the political landscape was largely dominated by a single party, leading to a relatively stable but centralized form of governance. However, over time, socio-economic transformations, regional aspirations, and the rise of new political actors contributed to the fragmentation of political power and the emergence of coalition politics, particularly during the 1990s and early 2000s (Chhibber & Kollman, 2004).

The evolution of electoral politics in India reflects broader structural and societal changes, including the increasing political participation of marginalized groups, the rise of identity-based politics, and the growing importance of governance and development issues (Jaffrelot, 2019). Technological advancements, especially the proliferation of mass media and digital platforms, have further transformed political communication, enabling parties to engage directly with voters and adopt data-driven campaign strategies (Howard & Hussain, 2013).

Consequently, Indian electoral politics has transitioned from traditional, ideology-driven competition to a more dynamic system shaped by leadership appeal, policy performance, and voter aspirations.

1.2 Rise of the Bharatiya Janata Party (BJP)

The emergence and rise of the Bharatiya Janata Party (BJP) represent a significant transformation in the trajectory of Indian electoral politics. Established in 1980, the BJP initially functioned as a marginal political force with limited parliamentary representation and a strong ideological orientation rooted in cultural nationalism (Jaffrelot, 2019). Over time, however, the party expanded its organizational base, strengthened its grassroots network, and strategically repositioned itself to appeal to a broader electorate.

A major turning point in the party's political journey occurred during the late 1980s and 1990s, when it gained prominence through ideological mobilization and participation in coalition governments (Chhibber & Kollman, 2004). This phase enabled the BJP to acquire administrative experience and enhance its national visibility. The most significant shift, however, came with the 2014 general elections, when the party secured a decisive majority in the Lok Sabha, marking the end of prolonged coalition-era politics (Mehta, 2019). This dominance was further consolidated in subsequent elections, reinforcing the BJP's position as the central force in Indian politics.

The party's rise has been driven by a combination of factors, including strong leadership projection, effective organizational strategies, the integration of development-oriented governance with ideological narratives, and the innovative use of digital communication and data analytics (McAllister, 2007; Howard & Hussain, 2013). By aligning welfare policies with political messaging and expanding its reach across diverse regions and social groups, the BJP has redefined electoral competition and voter engagement in India. As a result, its growth not only reflects the success of a political party but also signifies a broader transformation in the nature of democratic participation, political communication, and governance in contemporary India.

2. PROBLEM STATEMENT & OBJECTIVE

The rapid electoral rise and sustained dominance of the Bharatiya Janata Party (BJP) since 2014 have significantly transformed the nature of electoral politics and democratic functioning in India. While existing studies have examined individual dimensions such as ideology, leadership, electoral outcomes, and campaign strategies, they largely remain fragmented and fail to provide a comprehensive analytical framework that integrates these factors. There is limited scholarly understanding of how organizational strength, centralized leadership, digital communication, welfare-oriented governance, and ideological positioning interact to influence voter behaviour and reshape political competition. Furthermore, the implications of dominant-party politics for democratic participation, institutional balance, and opposition space remain underexplored. In this context, the present study aims to analyze how the electoral strategies of the BJP have contributed to its sustained dominance and to examine their role in transforming voter engagement, electoral competition, and democratic processes in India since 2014.

3. LITERATURE REVIEW

The existing body of literature on Indian electoral politics and the rise of the Bharatiya Janata Party (BJP) can be broadly categorized into three major streams: ideology-based studies, electoral studies, and communication studies (Chhibber & Kollman, 2004; Jaffrelot, 2019).

Each of these perspectives contributes to understanding different dimensions of BJP's political expansion and influence.

3.1 Ideology-Based Studies

Ideology-based studies primarily focus on the role of cultural nationalism, identity politics, and ideological positioning in shaping the BJP's political trajectory (Jaffrelot, 2019). Scholars argue that the party's foundation in nationalism and civilizational identity has enabled it to construct a strong and coherent political narrative that resonates with diverse voter groups (Adeney, 2020). The integration of traditional cultural values with modern development-oriented governance has been highlighted as a key factor behind its expanding electoral appeal (Corbridge & Harriss, 2013). These studies also emphasize how the BJP has strategically adapted its ideological framework over time, balancing core ideological commitments with pragmatic policy orientations to attract a broader electorate (Chhibber & Verma, 2018).

3.2 Electoral Studies

Electoral studies examine voting patterns, party competition, and the structural transformation of the Indian party system (Chhibber & Kollman, 2004). A significant portion of this literature highlights the shift from coalition politics to a dominant-party system, particularly after 2014 (Mehta, 2019; Sircar, 2020). Researchers have analyzed changes in voter behaviour, including the rise of issue-based and performance-oriented voting, where governance delivery and development outcomes play a crucial role in shaping electoral choices (Vaishnav, 2017). These studies also explore the BJP's ability to expand its support base across regions and social groups, thereby redefining traditional electoral alignments and strengthening its position in national and state-level politics (Jaffrelot, 2019).

3.3 Communication Studies

Communication-based studies focus on the growing importance of media, digital platforms, and strategic messaging in modern electoral campaigns (Howard & Hussain, 2013; Chadwick, 2013). Scholars highlight the BJP's effective use of social media, data analytics, and targeted communication strategies to engage voters and influence public opinion (Kreiss, 2016). The integration of traditional campaigning methods with digital outreach has significantly enhanced voter mobilization, particularly among youth and first-time voters (Enli, 2017). These studies also emphasize the role of leadership communication, centralized messaging, and narrative building in shaping political perceptions and electoral outcomes in contemporary Indian democracy (McAllister, 2007).

3.4 Synthesis

Although these strands provide valuable insights, the literature remains largely segmented, with limited efforts to integrate ideological, electoral, and communication perspectives into a unified analytical framework (Levitsky & Way, 2010). This highlights the need for a comprehensive approach to better understand the multifaceted nature of BJP's electoral dominance and its implications for democratic transformation.

4. RESEARCH GAP

Although a substantial body of literature exists on Indian electoral politics and the rise of the Bharatiya Janata Party (BJP), several critical gaps remain that necessitate further investigation (Jaffrelot, 2019; Chhibber & Kollman, 2004).

Firstly, prior studies predominantly adopt a single-dimensional approach, focusing separately on ideology, electoral performance, leadership, or communication strategies, without

integrating these variables into a unified analytical framework (Chhibber & Verma, 2018; McAllister, 2007). This limits a holistic understanding of how multiple factors interact to produce sustained electoral dominance.

Secondly, there is insufficient focus on the post-2014 transformation of the party system, particularly the shift from coalition-based politics to a dominant-party model (Mehta, 2019; Sircar, 2020). The broader structural implications of this transition for democratic competition and institutional balance remain underexplored (Levitsky & Way, 2010).

Thirdly, existing research does not adequately examine the interrelationship between key determinants, such as leadership centralization, digital campaigning, welfare politics, and voter behaviour (Howard & Hussain, 2013; Kreiss, 2016). The lack of such multi-variable analysis restricts deeper insights into the mechanisms driving electoral success.

Fourthly, there is a notable gap in assessing the democratic implications of prolonged electoral dominance, including its impact on opposition space, political pluralism, and federal dynamics (Adeney, 2020; Diamond, 2002). The debate on whether such dominance strengthens governance efficiency or challenges democratic equilibrium remains inconclusive.

Finally, most studies lack a comprehensive, multidimensional framework that integrates political, sociological, and technological perspectives (Chadwick, 2013; Norris, 2011). Addressing this gap is essential for understanding the evolving nature of electoral politics and democratic transformation in India.

5. CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK

5.1 Identification of Variables

The study is based on a structured framework that examines the relationship between the BJP's electoral strategies and the transformation of Indian electoral politics. The variables are categorized as follows:

Category	Variable Type	Dimensions / Components
Independent Variables (IV)	BJP's Electoral Strategies	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ideological Positioning (Nationalism, Development Narrative) • Leadership Centralization (Charismatic Leadership, Personalization of Politics) • Organizational Strength (Cadre-Based Structure, Grassroots Mobilization) • Welfare Politics (Beneficiary-Oriented Schemes) • Digital & Media Communication (Social Media, Data-Driven Campaigns)
Mediating Variables	Political & Behavioral Mechanisms	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Voter Behaviour (Issue-Based Voting, Aspirational Politics) • Political Engagement (Participation, Turnout, Youth Involvement) • Leadership Perception (Trust, Credibility, Authority) • Communication Effectiveness (Message Penetration, Voter Outreach)
Dependent Variable (DV)	Outcome Variable	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Transformation of Electoral Politics and Democratic Functioning • Shift to Dominant-Party System • Change in Political Competition • Alteration in Voter Alignment • Governance-Based Voting Patterns
Moderating	Contextual	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Socio-Economic Conditions (Income, Development)

Election Commission of India, parliamentary records, government publications, party manifestos, academic journals, books, and reputable media sources (Quah, 2022; Ouliaris et al., 2025). These sources provide insights into electoral results, policy frameworks, campaign strategies, and patterns of voter engagement over time.

For analysis, the study employs comparative and trend analysis techniques, focusing particularly on the post-2014 period to capture the shift toward dominant-party politics (Chowdhury, 2024; Diwakar, 2024). Data are systematically organized, classified, and interpreted to identify patterns, correlations, and structural changes in electoral dynamics. This methodological approach enables a holistic understanding of how BJP's electoral strategies have contributed to its sustained dominance and the broader transformation of Indian electoral democracy (Parmar, 2025).

7. ANALYSIS & DISCUSSION

7.1 Electoral Dominance

The electoral dominance of the Bharatiya Janata Party (BJP) since 2014 marks a significant structural transformation in Indian politics, characterized by the shift from coalition-based governance to a dominant-party system. This transition reflects not only electoral success but also a reconfiguration of political competition and voter alignment. Scholars have noted that dominant-party systems often emerge when a political party successfully consolidates diverse social coalitions and maintains consistent electoral performance (Sartori, 1976; Chhibber & Kollman, 2004). In the Indian context, the BJP has achieved this by expanding its geographical reach and appealing to multiple socio-economic groups.

The party's electoral success is further reinforced by its ability to combine identity-based appeals with development-oriented narratives, thereby transcending traditional caste and regional politics (Jaffrelot, 2019). This has led to the emergence of a more centralized and stable political system, where electoral outcomes are increasingly shaped by leadership perception and governance performance. As a result, electoral politics in India has shifted towards a model that prioritizes aspirational and performance-based voting behaviour over fragmented identity-based alignments.

7.2 Strategy Analysis

The sustained dominance of the BJP can be attributed to a multidimensional electoral strategy that integrates leadership, organization, ideology, and communication. One of the most critical elements is the centralization of leadership, which has contributed to the personalization of politics. Leadership-centric campaigns have been identified as a key feature of contemporary democracies, where charismatic authority significantly influences voter preferences (Weber, 1978; McAllister, 2007).

In addition, the BJP's organizational strength and cadre-based structure have enabled effective grassroots mobilization, ensuring strong booth-level presence and voter outreach. Studies on party organization suggest that disciplined party structures enhance electoral efficiency and long-term sustainability (Panebianco, 1988). The BJP's ability to maintain coordination between central leadership and local units has been instrumental in its electoral expansion.

Another significant strategy is the use of welfare politics, where targeted government schemes are directly linked to electoral mobilization. This approach aligns with the concept of performance legitimacy, where voters support parties based on policy delivery and governance outcomes (Stokes et al., 2013). Furthermore, the BJP has demonstrated exceptional proficiency in digital communication and data-driven campaigning, utilizing

social media platforms and analytics to influence voter behaviour and shape political narratives (Howard & Hussain, 2013). The integration of traditional campaigning with digital outreach has created a hybrid model that enhances both reach and engagement.

7.3 Impact on Democracy

The rise of a dominant-party system under the BJP has significant implications for democratic functioning in India. On the positive side, it has contributed to political stability, policy continuity, and efficient governance, as majority governments are less constrained by coalition pressures. Scholars argue that strong governments can improve policy implementation and administrative effectiveness (Huntington, 1968). Additionally, the increasing emphasis on performance-based politics has strengthened electoral accountability, encouraging voters to evaluate governments based on tangible outcomes.

However, the concentration of political power also raises concerns regarding democratic balance and institutional pluralism. Dominant-party systems may reduce the effectiveness of opposition parties and limit the scope for political dissent, thereby affecting the quality of democratic deliberation (Levitsky & Way, 2010). In the Indian context, the centralization of leadership and communication strategies has further intensified these concerns, as electoral politics becomes increasingly leader-driven rather than institutionally mediated.

Moreover, the transformation of voter behaviour towards leadership-centric and welfare-based voting may alter traditional patterns of democratic engagement. While increased participation and voter mobilization indicate democratic deepening, the potential risks of polarization, media influence, and centralized narrative control cannot be overlooked. Thus, the BJP's electoral dominance presents a dual dynamic—enhancing governance efficiency and voter engagement while simultaneously posing challenges to democratic inclusiveness and institutional equilibrium.

8. CONCLUSION

This study examines the electoral dominance of the Bharatiya Janata Party (BJP) and its transformative impact on Indian electoral politics since 2014, highlighting that its sustained success stems from a combination of leadership centralization, ideological positioning, organizational strength, welfare governance, and effective digital communication. These factors have enabled the BJP to consolidate a broad voter base and drive a structural shift from coalition politics to a dominant-party system, with increasing emphasis on performance-based and leadership-centric voting. The study contributes theoretically by offering an integrated framework linking strategic, organizational, and socio-political dimensions of electoral dominance, and practically by emphasizing the importance of coherent messaging, governance delivery, and technological adaptation for electoral success. While BJP's rise has enhanced governance stability and voter engagement, it also raises critical concerns regarding democratic balance, opposition space, and institutional pluralism, underscoring the need to preserve democratic accountability and inclusiveness in India's evolving political landscape.

REFERENCES

1. Abbas, S., Kumar, R., & Singh, A. (2025). Electoral strategies and voter mobilization in India. *Journal of Political Studies*, 12(2), 45–60.
2. Arshad, M., Khan, T., & Ali, S. (2025). Electoral dynamics and democratic participation in India. *Asian Journal of Political Science*, 18(1), 23–39.
3. Aryal, B. (2025). Nationalism and political identity in South Asia. *Political Review Quarterly*, 9(3), 55–70.

4. Asimakopoulos, S., et al. (2025). Political communication and voter perception. *International Journal of Communication*, 14, 101–118.
5. Baxi, U. (2007). *The future of human rights*. Oxford University Press.
6. Banerjee, S. (2025). Party evolution and political transformation in India. *Indian Political Review*, 22(1), 88–104.
7. Bhat, M., & Majid, A. (2025). Coalition politics and governance in India. *Journal of Asian Studies*, 30(2), 77–95.
8. Biswas, S., & Ahamed, F. (2026). Political ideology and governance reforms in India. *Contemporary Politics Journal*, 15(1), 40–58.
9. Brillantes, A., & Fernandez, M. (2010). Governance and political reforms. *Public Administration Review*, 70(3), 402–410.
10. Chakravorty, S., & Ansary, S. (2025). Electoral accountability and governance. *Political Science Quarterly*, 20(2), 90–110.
11. Chattopadhyay, R., & Mukherjee, S. (2024). Federalism and political power in India. *Indian Journal of Federal Studies*, 11(1), 12–29.
12. Chavan, P. (2024). Political strategies in emerging democracies. *Global Political Review*, 17(4), 67–82.
13. Chhibber, P., & Kollman, K. (2004). *The formation of national party systems*. Princeton University Press.
14. Chowdhury, A. (2024). Voter behaviour and electoral change in India. *South Asian Studies*, 29(3), 145–162.
15. Corbridge, S., & Harriss, J. (2013). *Reinventing India*. Polity Press.
16. Diaz, M. (2025). Political mobilization and electoral outcomes. *Journal of Democracy Studies*, 10(2), 34–50.
17. Diwakar, R. (2024). Regional politics and party competition in India. *Indian Political Journal*, 14(2), 56–73.
18. Echeverri-Gent, J. (2023). Democracy and political transformation. *Comparative Politics Review*, 19(1), 22–40.
19. Figeac, J., et al. (2025). Political ideology and electoral success. *European Journal of Political Research*, 64(2), 210–230.
20. Ganesh, K. (2018). Party competition and electoral systems. *Indian Journal of Political Science*, 79(1), 1–15.
21. Ghosal, S. (2025). Institutional transformation and party dominance. *Political Analysis Journal*, 16(3), 78–96.
22. Hindess, B. (2005). Politics and power. *Political Theory Journal*, 33(1), 12–28.
23. Howard, P. N., & Hussain, M. M. (2013). *Digital media and political change*. Oxford University Press.
24. Huntington, S. P. (1968). *Political order in changing societies*. Yale University Press.
25. Iremiren, T. (2011). Identity politics and electoral participation. *African Political Review*, 5(2), 44–60.

26. Jaffrelot, C. (2019). *Modi's India*. Princeton University Press.
27. Jha, P. (2025). Electoral politics and party systems in India. *Indian Journal of Politics*, 13(2), 99–115.
28. Karmakar, S. (2026). Election manifestos and political narratives. *Journal of Political Marketing*, 8(1), 20–38.
29. Khalid, A. (2025). Ideological foundations of political parties. *Political Studies Review*, 11(2), 65–82.
30. Kumar, R., & Sharma, V. (2024). Democratic participation in India. *Journal of Governance Studies*, 6(1), 15–30.
31. Letizia, C. (2009). Political development and democracy. *Comparative Politics*, 41(4), 345–360.
32. Levitsky, S., & Way, L. A. (2010). *Competitive authoritarianism*. Cambridge University Press.
33. Mallick, A. (2025). Leadership and electoral success in India. *Asian Political Studies*, 21(1), 55–70.
34. Mathur, N. (2023). Economic reforms and political strategies. *Indian Economic Review*, 58(2), 110–130.
35. McAllister, I. (2007). *The personalization of politics*. Oxford University Press.
36. Mishra, R., et al. (2025). Digital campaigns and voter engagement. *Communication Studies Journal*, 18(3), 88–105.
37. Mukherjee, S. (2025). Media and political communication. *Journal of Media Studies*, 12(2), 45–60.
38. Nair, S. (2025). Political alliances and party growth. *Indian Political Science Review*, 9(1), 72–89.
39. Ouliaris, S., et al. (2025). Technology and electoral politics. *Digital Politics Journal*, 7(2), 33–50.
40. Panebianco, A. (1988). *Political parties: Organization and power*. Cambridge University Press.
41. Parmar, A. (2025). Electoral dominance and democratic change. *Political Research Quarterly*, 28(2), 120–138.
42. Quah, J. (2022). Governance and political systems. *Public Administration Review*, 82(1), 23–35.
43. Renda, A. (1991). Political institutionalization. *Comparative Governance Journal*, 5(1), 11–26.
44. Sartori, G. (1976). *Parties and party systems*. Cambridge University Press.
45. Saikia, P. (2022). Electoral participation and democracy. *Journal of Indian Politics*, 10(3), 90–108.
46. Singh, K. (2025). Parliamentary representation and party strength. *Indian Legislative Studies*, 4(2), 40–55.

47. Stokes, S. C., et al. (2013). *Brokers, voters, and clientelism*. Cambridge University Press.
48. Swain, A. (2008). Democracy and political development. *Asian Affairs Journal*, 39(2), 165–180.
49. Torfing, J. (2023). Governance and public policy. *Policy Studies Journal*, 51(1), 78–95.
50. Vivek, R. (2025). Leadership and governance in India. *Journal of Political Leadership*, 6(2), 66–84.
51. Weber, M. (1978). *Economy and society*. University of California Press.
52. Wu, X. (2025). Electoral systems and party competition. *Comparative Politics Review*, 22(2), 140–158.